26

1 2 3 4 5	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFF JOSEPH C. BUTNER SBN 005229 DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley Street Prescott, AZ 86301 Telephone: 928-771-3344 ycao@co.yavapai.az.us	2009 SEP -2 PM 5: 01 JEANNE HICKS, CLERK BY:
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI	
8		
9	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CR 2008-1339
10	Plaintiff,	Division 6
11	V.	STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFNDANT'S MOTION FOR REEXAMINATION OF CONDITIONS OF RELEASE
12	STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	
13	Defendant.	
14 15	The State of Arizona, by and through Sheila Sullivan Polk, Yavapai County Attorney	
16	and her deputy undersigned, hereby submits its Response to Defendant's Motion for	
17	Reexamination of Conditions of Release and request for Evidentiary Hearing on the matter. As	
18	held in Mendez v. Robertson, 202 Ariz. 128, 42 P.3d 14 (App. 2002), a defendant is not entitled	
19	to an evidentiary hearing on a Motion for Reexamination; therefore, Defendant's request on	
20	that issue should be denied. Defendant's Motion for Reexamination of Conditions of Release	
21	 	
22	should be denied because Defendant has failed to offer any material fact not previously	
23	considered by this Court.	
24	///	
25	///	

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

ARGUMENT:

Defendant's Conditions of Release should not be amended.

Ariz. R. Crim P., Rule 7.4(b) provides that "[a]ny person remaining in custody may move for reexamination of the conditions of release whenever the person's case is transferred to a different court or the motion alleges the existence of material facts not previously presented to the court." (emphasis added.) A "material fact" is defined as "a fact that is significant or essential to the issue or the matter at hand." Black's Law Dictionary, 484 (7th abridged ed. 2000). Defendant claims his material facts are: 1) availability of GPS monitoring, 2) the strength of the State's evidence, and 3) Defendant's inability to assist in his defense due to his incarceration.

During December 2008 and January 2009, this Court heard nearly four full days of testimony regarding the State's request to hold Defendant without bond. In addition, this Court twice heard additional testimony and argument regarding the State's evidence as it was presented to the grand jury during the hearings on Defendant's two motions for new finding of probable cause. This Court also heard argument regarding GPS monitoring at a hearing on March 10, 2009.

This Court found that Defendant had the opportunity to commit the crimes based upon Defendant's proximity to the scene, the time frame in which the murder occurred, and Defendant's lack of alibi. After consideration, this Court determined that \$2,500,000.00 cash or security bond was appropriate.

After the ruling was issued, Defendant quickly filed a Motion for Reexamination of Conditions of Release which was denied by this Court without evidentiary hearing on April 30,

- 2 -

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

2009. Defendant's current Motion for Reexamination of Conditions of Release is little more than a carbon copy of his earlier request.

The State's evidence was fully considered by this Court during both the *Simpson* Hearing and the hearings for new finding of probable cause. The fact that the State's evidence has not substantially changed is not a new material fact for the purpose of Defendant's request for reexamine conditions of release. In fact, Defendant's only "new" allegation is that the conditions of his confinement *might* prevent him from assisting with his own defense; however, this allegation is based upon pure speculation and speculation as to what may or may not occur in the future should not be allowed to stand as a "material fact" to warrant the reexamination of the conditions of release.

The State has made and will continued to make arrangements to provide Defendant with a secure room, the ability to video conference, a secure telephone line, as well as a secure computer to allow him to review the evidence and assist his defense team for a period of at least forty hours per week as this case proceeds to trial. Clearly, Defendant has failed to present any instance where his incarceration has prevented him from meaningfully assisting in his own defense.

CONCLUSION:

Defendant failed to present the existence of any material facts not previously presented to this Court as to warrant a Reexamination of Release Conditions. Defendant's Motion for Reexamination of Conditions of Release and Request for Evidentiary Hearing on the matter should be denied.

25 ///

26 | ///

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

771-3110

Facsimile: (928)

Phone: (928) 771-3344

Prescott, AZ 86301

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26 September, 2009.

Sheila Sullivan Polk YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

By:

Joseph C Butner

Deputy County Attorney

COPIES of the foregoing delivered this _____ day of September, 2009 to:

Honorable Thomas J. Lindberg Division 6 Yavapai County Superior Court (via email)

John Sears
107 North Cortez Street, Suite 104
Prescott, AZ 86301
Attorney for Defendant
(via email)

Larry Hammond Anne Chapman Osborn Maledon, P.A. 2929 North Central Ave, 21st Floor Phoenix, AZ Attorney for Defendant (via email)

By: Beroutt